

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

**IN RE: E. I. DU PONT DE
NEMOURS AND COMPANY C-8
PERSONAL INJURY LITIGATION,**

**Civil Action 2:13-md-2433
JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.
Magistrate Judge Elizabeth Preston Deavers**

This document relates to: *Travis Abbott and Julie Abbott v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company*, Case No. 2:17-cv-998.

DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS ORDER NO. 38

Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment Related to Specific Causation

This matter is before the Court on Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Specific Causation (ECF No. 58), Plaintiff's Memorandum in Opposition to Defendant's Motion (ECF No. 73), and Defendant's Reply in Support of its Motion (ECF No. 84).

In its Motion, Defendant E.I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company ("DuPont") argues that it is entitled to "summary judgment on all claims asserted by Plaintiff Angela Swartz because she cannot establish that her claimed exposure to C-8 was more likely than not the cause of her kidney cancer, or that her kidney cancer would not have occurred but for her claimed exposure to C-8." (Def's Mot. for Summ. J. at 1, ECF No. 58.) The entirety of DuPont's argument is as follows:

Plaintiff Travis Abbott cannot satisfy his burden of proving that his claimed exposure to drinking water containing trace amounts of C-8 from DuPont's Washington Works facility specifically caused his testicular cancers because the proffered expert opinions of Plaintiff's sole specific causation expert, Dr. Kamal Pohar, are inadmissible because they are based on an unreliable methodology. Because, as a matter of Ohio law, Plaintiff cannot meet his burden to prove specific causation without reliable expert testimony, summary judgment should be entered for DuPont, and this case should be dismissed with prejudice.

Id. at 1.

On January 2, 2020, this Court denied Dupont's request to exclude the opinions of Dr. Pohar. (Evidentiary Motions No. 31, ECF No. 108.) Consequently, DuPont is left with no support for its request for summary judgment. Thus, the Court **DENIES** DuPont's Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Specific Causation. (ECF No. 58.)

IT IS SO ORDERED.

1-19-2020
DATE



EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE